

Olver	Roybal-Allard	Sweeney
Ortiz	Royce	Tancredo
Osborne	Ruppersberger	Tanner
Otter	Ryan (OH)	Tauscher
Owens	Ryan (WI)	Taylor (MS)
Oxley	Ryun (KS)	Taylor (NC)
Pallone	Sabo	Terry
Pascrell	Salazar	Thomas
Pastor	Sánchez, Linda	Thompson (CA)
Paul	T.	Thompson (MS)
Payne	Sanchez, Loretta	Thornberry
Pearce	Sanders	Tiahrt
Pelosi	Saxton	Tiberi
Pence	Schakowsky	Tierney
Peterson (MN)	Schiff	Towns
Petri	Schwartz (PA)	Turner
Pickering	Schwarz (MI)	Udall (CO)
Pitts	Scott (GA)	Udall (NM)
Platts	Scott (VA)	Upton
Poe	Sensenbrenner	Van Hollen
Pombo	Serrano	Velázquez
Pomeroy	Sessions	Visclosky
Porter	Shadegg	Walden (OR)
Portman	Shaw	Walsh
Price (GA)	Shays	Wamp
Price (NC)	Sherman	Wasserman
Pryce (OH)	Sherwood	Schultz
Putnam	Shimkus	Waters
Radanovich	Shuster	Waxman
Rahall	Simmons	Weiner
Ramstad	Simpson	Weldon (FL)
Rangel	Skelton	Weldon (PA)
Regula	Slaughter	Weller
Rehberg	Smith (NJ)	Westmoreland
Reichert	Smith (TX)	Wexler
Renzi	Smith (WA)	Whitfield
Reyes	Snyder	Wicker
Reynolds	Sodrel	Wilson (NM)
Rogers (AL)	Solis	Wilson (SC)
Rogers (KY)	Souder	Wolf
Rogers (MI)	Spratt	Woolsey
Rohrabacher	Stearns	Wu
Ros-Lehtinen	Strickland	Wynn
Ross	Stupak	Young (AK)
Rothman	Sullivan	Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—15

Brown, Corrine	Kingston	Rush
Carson	Meeks (NY)	Stark
Gillmor	Millender	Watson
Gutierrez	McDonald	Watt
Harris	Napolitano	
Istook	Peterson (PA)	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT) (during the vote). Members are advised two minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1854

Ms. KILPATRICK of Michigan changed her vote from “nay” to “yea.” So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERMITTING USE OF ROTUNDA OF CAPITOL FOR COMMEMORATION OF DAYS OF REMEMBRANCE OF VICTIMS OF HOLOCAUST CEREMONY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and agreeing to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 63.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 63, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 416, nays 0, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 41]

YEAS—416

Abercrombie	Davis (KY)	Israel
Ackerman	Davis (TN)	Issa
Aderholt	Davis, Jo Ann	Istook
Akin	Davis, Tom	Jackson (IL)
Alexander	Deal (GA)	Jackson-Lee
Allen	DeFazio	(TX)
Andrews	DeGette	Jefferson
Baca	Delahunt	Jindal
Bachus	DeLauro	Johnson (CT)
Baird	DeLay	Johnson (IL)
Baker	Dent	Johnson, E. B.
Baldwin	Diaz-Balart, L.	Johnson, Sam
Barrett (SC)	Diaz-Balart, M.	Jones (NC)
Barrow	Dicks	Jones (OH)
Bartlett (MD)	Dingell	Kanjorski
Barton (TX)	Doggett	Kaptur
Bass	Doolittle	Keller
Bean	Doyle	Kelly
Beauprez	Drake	Kennedy (MN)
Becerra	Dreier	Kennedy (RI)
Berkley	Duncan	Kildee
Berman	Edwards	Kilpatrick (MI)
Berry	Ehlers	Kind
Biggert	Emanuel	King (IA)
Bilirakis	Emerson	King (NY)
Bishop (GA)	Engel	Kirk
Bishop (NY)	English (PA)	Kline
Bishop (UT)	Eshoo	Knollenberg
Blackburn	Etheridge	Kolbe
Blumenauer	Evans	Kucinich
Blunt	Everett	Kuhl (NY)
Boehlert	Farr	LaHood
Boehner	Fattah	Langevin
Bonilla	Feeney	Lantos
Bonner	Ferguson	Larsen (WA)
Bono	Filner	Larson (CT)
Boozman	Fitzpatrick (PA)	Latham
Boren	Flake	LaTourette
Boswell	Foley	Leach
Boucher	Forbes	Lee
Boustany	Ford	Levin
Boyd	Fortenberry	Lewis (CA)
Bradley (NH)	Fossella	Lewis (GA)
Brady (PA)	Fox	Lewis (KY)
Brady (TX)	Frank (MA)	Linder
Brown (OH)	Franks (AZ)	Lipinski
Brown (SC)	Frelinghuysen	LoBiondo
Brown-Waite,	Gallely	Lofgren, Zoe
Ginny	Garrett (NJ)	Lowey
Burgess	Gerlach	Lucas
Burton (IN)	Gibbons	Lungren, Daniel
Butterfield	Gilchrest	E.
Buyer	Gingrey	Lynch
Calvert	Gohmert	Mack
Camp	Gonzalez	Maloney
Cannon	Goode	Manzullo
Cantor	Goodlatte	Marchant
Capito	Gordon	Markey
Capps	Granger	Marshall
Capuano	Graves	Matheson
Cardin	Green (WI)	McCarthy
Cardoza	Green, Al	McCaul (TX)
Carnahan	Green, Gene	McCollum (MN)
Carter	Grijalva	McCotter
Case	Gutknecht	McCrery
Castle	Hall	McDermott
Chabot	Harman	McGovern
Chandler	Hart	McHenry
Choccola	Hastings (FL)	McHugh
Clay	Hastings (WA)	McIntyre
Cleaver	Hayes	McKeon
Clyburn	Hayworth	McKinney
Coble	Hefley	McMorris
Cole (OK)	Hensarling	McNulty
Conaway	Herger	Meehan
Conyers	Herse	Meek (FL)
Cooper	Higgins	Menendez
Costa	Hinche	Mica
Costello	Hinojosa	Michaud
Cox	Hobson	Miller (FL)
Cramer	Hoekstra	Miller (MI)
Crenshaw	Holden	Miller (NC)
Crowley	Holt	Miller, Gary
Cubin	Honda	Miller, George
Cuellar	Hooley	Mollohan
Culberson	Hostettler	Moore (KS)
Cummings	Hoyer	Moore (WI)
Cunningham	Hulshof	Moran (KS)
Davis (AL)	Hunter	Moran (VA)
Davis (CA)	Hyde	Murphy
Davis (FL)	Inglis (SC)	Musgrave
Davis (IL)	Inslee	Myrick

Nadler	Rogers (AL)	Strickland
Neal (MA)	Rogers (KY)	Stupak
Neugebauer	Rogers (MI)	Sullivan
Ney	Rohrabacher	Tancredo
Northup	Ros-Lehtinen	Tanner
Norwood	Ross	Tauscher
Nunes	Rothman	Taylor (MS)
Nussle	Roybal-Allard	Taylor (NC)
Oberstar	Royce	Terry
Obey	Ruppersberger	Thomas
Olver	Ryan (OH)	Thompson (CA)
Ortiz	Ryan (WI)	Thompson (MS)
Osborne	Ryun (KS)	Thornberry
Otter	Sabo	Tiahrt
Owens	Salazar	Tiberi
Oxley	Sánchez, Linda	Tierney
Pallone	T.	Towns
Pascrell	Sanchez, Loretta	Turner
Pastor	Sanders	Udall (CO)
Paul	Saxton	Udall (NM)
Payne	Schakowsky	Upton
Pearce	Schiff	Van Hollen
Pelosi	Schwartz (PA)	Velázquez
Pence	Schwarz (MI)	Visclosky
Peterson (MN)	Scott (GA)	Walden (OR)
Peterson (PA)	Scott (VA)	Walsh
Petri	Sensenbrenner	Wamp
Pickering	Serrano	Wasserman
Pitts	Sessions	Schultz
Platts	Shadegg	Waters
Poe	Shaw	Waxman
Pombo	Shays	Weiner
Pomeroy	Sherman	Weldon (FL)
Porter	Sherwood	Weldon (PA)
Portman	Shimkus	Weller
Price (GA)	Shuster	Westmoreland
Price (NC)	Simmons	Wexler
Pryce (OH)	Simpson	Whitfield
Putnam	Skelton	Wick
Radanovich	Slaughter	Wilson (NM)
Rahall	Smith (NJ)	Wilson (SC)
Ramstad	Smith (TX)	Wolf
Rangel	Smith (WA)	Woolsey
Regula	Snyder	Wu
Rehberg	Sodrel	Wynn
Reichert	Solis	Young (AK)
Renzi	Souder	Young (FL)
Reyes	Spratt	
Reynolds	Stearns	

NOT VOTING—17

Brown, Corrine	Kingston	Napolitano
Carson	Meeks (NY)	Rush
Gillmor	Melancon	Stark
Gutierrez	Millender	Sweeney
Harris	McDonald	Watson
Jenkins	Murtha	Watt

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT) (during the vote). Members are reminded there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1911

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably absent this evening from this chamber. I would like the RECORD to show that, had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on rollcall votes 40 and 41.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 444

Mr. CLEAVER. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have my name removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 444.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 4, 2005, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. MORAN of Kansas addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Mr. HENSARLING. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take my special order at this time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

SOCIAL SECURITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HENSARLING) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HENSARLING. Madam Speaker, I rise tonight to enter into a very important discussion that our President has kicked off for the Nation, and that has to do with strengthening and saving Social Security. Social Security has historically been a vital program in the history of America, saving many seniors from poverty, giving them peace of mind and giving them greater security.

Madam Speaker, this is far more important than just a congressional debate to me. It is something that is very personal. You see, my parents are in their seventies. Social Security is part of their retirement. And I am committed to ensure that the Social Security benefits that my parents have earned, that they keep. But, Madam Speaker, not only do I have a sacred obligation to my parents, I have a sacred obligation to my children as well. My children are in diapers. Their world consists of Barney and Big Bird. They do not know about Social Security, but if we do not take action now, Social Security as we know it will not be there for my children.

We have a number of challenges in Social Security. We have the challenge of demographics. When Social Security was first created, there were over 40 workers supporting every one beneficiary. By 1950, we were down to 16 workers for every beneficiary. And today, Madam Speaker, just three workers for every beneficiary. In addition, when Social Security was created, the life span of the average American was 60 years old. You could not even retire and get your benefits until 65. Thanks to the marvels of modern medi-

cine, the life expectancy of seniors today is 77, and increasing.

Another phenomenon we have, because we have fewer people paying into the system, we have declining rates of return. My grandparents enjoyed about a 12 percent rate of return on their Social Security. My parents will enjoy about a 4 percent rate of return on Social Security. I myself about 2 percent. And if we do not reform Social Security, my children will pay more into Social Security than they take out. Madam Speaker, that is simply not fair.

Besides the declining rates of return, we have a large, large deficit that we are facing in the future. The cost of doing nothing is profound. In the year 2008, the Social Security surplus begins to decline and by the year 2018, Social Security begins to go bankrupt. It begins to pay out more money than it takes in. That sea of red ink there, Madam Speaker, adds up to \$10.4 trillion. Nobody knows what that is, but I can tell you, that adds up to about \$35,000 for every man, woman and child to save Social Security.

□ 1915

If we do not do that, if we do not write out that check today, future generations are looking at unconscionable options. Number one, people who enter the workforce today, by the time they retire, if we do not take moves to strengthen Social Security now, they will be looking at having their benefits cut by almost a full third. How many seniors can afford to have their Social Security benefits cut by almost a third?

And if we are not looking at massive benefit cuts, we are looking at massive tax hikes. This same group of people, people who enter the workforce today, if we do not take movement today, action today, they are going to be looking at a 42 percent increase in their payroll taxes. What will that do to families in America? How many hundreds of thousands of people will lose their jobs because of that massive tax increase?

But, Madam Speaker, there is a better way. And that better way is to do what the President has suggested, and that is to create personal Social Security accounts that take the best of traditional Social Security, our government guarantee, our inflation control, our social safety net, and add to it elements of the best of what company pension plans offer, and that is real assets that people own, giving workers and families a chance to start their own nest egg and pension grade investments that have proven over time to have a superior rate of return and be safe.

Madam Speaker, some say that this is risky. I say it is risky to leave one's retirement security in Washington. Already Congress has raided the Social Security trust fund over 59 times. They have cut benefits a half dozen times. They have raised taxes 20 times.

Madam Speaker, we need to move to personal Social Security accounts. Working together, Republicans and Democrats, we can save Social Security for my parents. We can save Social Security for my children. We can save Social Security forever.

SMART SECURITY AND THE UN-VARNISHED TRUTH ABOUT IRAQ

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT). Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WOOLSEY. Madam Speaker, there are many truths about America's involvement in Iraq. My truth is that our policies there over the last 2 years have been both immoral and ineffective. With nearly 1,500 American troops killed since the fighting began and another 11,000 injured, the time has come for a drastic change in our role in Iraq.

Leave aside, if my colleagues possibly can, the fact that the President and his team misled us about weapons of mass destruction. Forget for a moment, if they can, that they invented out of whole cloth a link between Saddam Hussein and the 9/11 tragedy. Those lives were bad enough. But their policies, the administration's policies, have also failed to achieve one of their later stated objectives of securing Iraq. The Bush administration is not only dishonest; I believe they are incompetent.

Rather than liberating Iraq, the U.S. invasion and occupation has trapped the nation and its people in a cauldron of violent civil strife. Our presence there has not engendered gratitude but bred resentment in the form of vicious insurgency. It has emboldened Muslim extremists who hate America now more than ever. Neither Iraqis nor Americans nor anyone else in this world is safer because of this war in Iraq.

In fact, a report came from the CIA's National Intelligence Council that concluded Iraq has replaced Afghanistan as the most fertile breeding ground for terrorists. It turns out that the Bush administration was right in their projection that we cannot separate Iraq from the war on terrorism. What they did not tell us is that invading Iraq fulfilled those projections and strengthened the wrong side in the war on terrorism.

Even since the Iraqi election, violence is making democracy a real long shot; and our troops, charged with somehow bringing order to the chaotic situation, are sitting ducks. Perhaps the President should ask the Iraqi people how free they feel when they must dodge bullets just to go to the market or visit a neighbor, when they stand by and watch neighborhoods being destroyed. Even in Afghanistan, which is often cited as a Bush success, there is evidence that the country is being run by warlords and drug dealers.

To help the situation in Iraq, I have introduced H. Con. Res. 35, legislation